arXiv:1206.4109v3 [quant-ph] 30 Jun 2012

Unifying Treatment of Discord via Relative Entropy

Lin Zhang*

Institute of Mathematics, Hangzhou Dianzi University, Hangzhou 310018, P.R. China

Shao-Ming Fei†

School of Mathematical Sciences, Capital Normal University, Beijing 100048, P.R. China

Jun Zhu

Institute of Mathematics, Hangzhou Dianzi University, Hangzhou 310018, P.R. China

---

Abstract

A new form of zero-discord state via Petz's monotonicity condition on relative entropy with equality has been derived systematically. A generalization of symmetric zero-discord states is presented and the related physical implications are discussed.

---

1 Introduction

Relative entropy are powerful tools in quantum information theory [1]. It has a monotonicity property under a certain class of quantum channels and the condition of equality is an interesting and important subject. It is Petz who first studied the equality condition of monotonicity of relative entropy [2, 3]. Later Ruskai obtained similar result in terms of another elegant approach [4]. The most general equality condition along with this line are recently reviewed in [5].

In this note we will make use of the most general equality condition for relative entropy to find the specific form of states which satisfy the zero-discord condition (see details below).

---

*E-mail: godyalin@163.com

†E-mail: feishm@mail.cnu.edu.cn

Let $\mathcal{H}$ denote an N-dimensional complex Hilbert space. A *state* ρ on $\mathcal{H}$ is a positive semi-definite operator of trace one. We denote $\mathrm{D}(\mathcal{H})$ the set of all the density matrices acting on $\mathcal{H}$. If $\rho = \sum_k \lambda_k |u_k\rangle\langle u_k|$ is the spectral decomposition of ρ, with λ_k and $|u_k\rangle$ the eigenvalues and eigenvectors respectively, then the *support* of ρ is defined by

$$\mathrm{supp}(\rho) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{span}\{|u_k\rangle : \lambda_k > 0\},$$

and the *generalized inverse* ρ^{-1} of ρ is defined by

$$\rho^{-1} = \sum_{k:\lambda_k>0} \lambda_k^{-1} |u_k\rangle\langle u_k|.$$

The *von Neumann entropy* $\mathrm{S}(\rho)$ of ρ is defined by

$$\mathrm{S}(\rho) \overset{\text{def}}{=} -\mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho \log \rho\right),$$

which quantifies information encoded in the quantum state ρ. If σ is also a quantum state on $\mathcal{H}$, then the *relative entropy* [1] between ρ and σ is defined by

$$\mathrm{S}(\rho||\sigma) \overset{\text{def}}{=} \begin{cases} \mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho(\log\rho - \log\sigma)\right), & \text{if } \mathrm{supp}(\rho) \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(\sigma), \\ +\infty, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be the set of all linear operators on $\mathcal{H}$. If $X, Y \in \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H})$, then $\langle X, Y\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}\left(X^\dagger Y\right)$ defines the *Hilbert-Schmidt inner product* on $\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H})$. Let $\mathrm{T}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the set of all linear super-operators from $\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H})$ to itself. $\Lambda \in \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{H})$ is said to be a *completely positive super-operator* if for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\Lambda \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C})} : \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H}) \otimes \mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$$

is positive, where $\mathrm{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of all $k \times k$ complex matrices. It follows from Choi's theorem [6] that every completely positive super-operator Λ has a Kraus representation

$$\Lambda = \sum_\mu \mathrm{Ad}_{M_\mu},$$

that is, for every $X \in \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $\Lambda(X) = \sum_\mu M_\mu X M_\mu^\dagger$, where $\{M_\mu\} \subseteq \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $M_\mu^\dagger$ is the adjoint operator of M_μ. It is clear that for the super-operator Λ, there is *adjoint super-operator* $\Lambda^\dagger \in \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{H})$ such that for $A, B \in \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{H})$, $\langle \Lambda(A), B\rangle = \langle A, \Lambda^\dagger(B)\rangle$. Moreover, Λ is a completely positive super-operator if and only if $\Lambda^\dagger$ is also a completely positive super-operator. A *quantum channel* is just a trace-preserving completely positive super-operator Φ. If Φ is also unit-preserving, then it is called *unital quantum channel*.

It has been reviewed in [5] that,

Lemma 1.1. *Let $\rho, \sigma \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{H})$, $\Phi \in \mathrm{T}(\mathcal{H})$ be a quantum channel. If $\mathrm{supp}(\rho) \subseteq \mathrm{supp}(\sigma)$, then $\mathrm{S}(\Phi(\rho)||\Phi(\sigma)) \leqslant \mathrm{S}(\rho||\sigma)$; moreover*

$$\mathrm{S}(\Phi(\rho)||\Phi(\sigma)) = \mathrm{S}(\rho||\sigma) \quad \textit{if and only if} \quad \Phi^\dagger_\sigma \circ \Phi(\rho) = \rho,$$

where $\Phi^\dagger_\sigma = \mathrm{Ad}_{\sigma^{1/2}} \circ \Phi^\dagger \circ \mathrm{Ad}_{\Phi(\sigma)^{-1/2}}$.

Moreover, for a tripartite state, one has [7, 8],

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\rho_{ABC} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B \otimes \mathcal{H}_C)$ for which strong subadditivity is saturated for both triples ABC, BAC. Then ρ_{ABC} must have the following form:*

$$\rho_{ABC} = \bigoplus_{i,j} p_{ij} \rho^{(i)}_{a^L_i} \otimes \rho^{(ij)}_{a^R_i b^L_j} \otimes \rho^{(j)}_{b^R_j} \otimes \rho^{(k)}_C,$$

where k is a function only of i, j in the sense that

$$k = k(i,j) = k_1(i) = k_2(j) \quad \textit{whenever} \quad p_{ij} > 0.$$

In particular, k need only be defined where $p_{ij} > 0$ so that it is not necessarily constant. By collecting the terms of equivalent k we can write

$$\rho_{ABC} = \bigoplus_k p_k \rho^{(k)}_{AB} \otimes \rho^{(k)}_C,$$

where

$$p_k \rho^{(k)}_{AB} = \sum_{i,j;k(i,j)=k} p_{ij} \rho^{(i)}_{a^L_i} \otimes \rho^{(ij)}_{a^R_i b^L_j} \otimes \rho^{(j)}_{b^R_j}.$$

2 Quantum discord

Consider a bipartite system AB composed of subsystems A and B. Let ρ_{AB} be the density operator of AB, and ρ_A and ρ_B the reduced density operators. The total correlation between the systems A and B is measured by the *quantum mutual information*

$$I(\rho_{AB}) = \mathrm{S}(\rho_A) - \mathrm{S}(\rho_A | \rho_B),$$

where

$$\mathrm{S}(\rho_A | \rho_B) = \mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB}) - \mathrm{S}(\rho_B)$$

is the entropy of A conditional on B. The conditional entropy can also be introduced by a measurement-based approach. Consider a measurement locally performed on B, which can be described by a set of projectors $\Pi_B = \{\Pi_{B,\mu}\} = \{|b_\mu\rangle\langle b_\mu|\}$. The state of the quantum system, conditioned on the measurement of the outcome labeled by μ, is

$$\rho_{AB,\mu} = \frac{1}{p_{B,\mu}} \left(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \Pi_{B,\mu}\right) \rho_{AB} \left(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \Pi_{B,\mu}\right),$$

where

$$p_{B,\mu} = \mathrm{Tr}\left((\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \Pi_{B,\mu})\rho_{AB}(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \Pi_{B,\mu})\right) = \langle b_\mu |\rho_{AB}| b_\mu\rangle > 0$$

denotes the probability of obtaining the outcome μ, and $\mathbb{1}_A$ denotes the identity operator for A. The conditional density operator $\rho_{AB,\mu}$ allows for the following alternative definition of the conditional entropy:

$$\mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB}| \{\Pi_{B,\mu}\}) = \sum_\mu p_{B,\mu}\mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB,\mu}) = \sum_\mu p_{B,\mu}\mathrm{S}(\rho_{A,\mu}),$$

where $\rho_{A,\mu} = \mathrm{Tr}_B\left(\rho_{AB,\mu}\right) = (1/p_{B,\mu}) \langle b_\mu |\rho_{AB}| b_\mu\rangle$. Therefore, the quantum mutual information can also be defined by

$$I(\rho_{AB}| \{\Pi_{B,\mu}\}) = \mathrm{S}(\rho_A) - \mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB}| \{\Pi_{B,\mu}\}).$$

The quantities $I(\rho_{AB})$ and $I(\rho_{AB}| \{\Pi_{B,\mu}\})$ are classically equivalent but distinct in the quantum case.

The one-sided *quantum discord* is defined by:

$$D_B(\rho_{AB}) = \inf_{\Pi_B} \{I(\rho_{AB}) - I(\rho_{AB}|\Pi_B)\}.$$

If we denote the nonselective von Neumann measurement performed on B by

$$\Pi_B(\rho_{AB}) = \sum_\mu (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \Pi_{B,\mu})\rho_{AB}(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \Pi_{B,\mu}) = \sum_\mu p_{B,\mu}\rho_{A,\mu} \otimes |b_\mu\rangle\langle b_\mu|,$$

then the quantum discord can be written alternatively as

$$\begin{aligned} D_B(\rho_{AB}) &= \inf_{\Pi_B} \{\mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB}||\rho_A \otimes \rho_B) - \mathrm{S}(\Pi_B(\rho_{AB})||\rho_A \otimes \Pi_B(\rho_B))\} \\ &= \inf_{\Pi_B} \{\mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB}||\Pi_B(\rho_{AB})) - \mathrm{S}(\rho_B||\Pi_B(\rho_B))\}. \end{aligned}$$

Apparently, $D_B(\rho_{AB}) \geqslant 0$ from Lemma 1.1.

The *symmetric quantum discord* of ρ_{AB} is defined by [9],

$$D(\rho_{AB}) = \inf_{\Pi_A \otimes \Pi_B} \{\mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB}||\Pi_A \otimes \Pi_B(\rho_{AB})) - \mathrm{S}(\rho_A||\Pi_A(\rho_A)) - \mathrm{S}(\rho_B||\Pi_B(\rho_B))\}.$$

For the symmetric quantum discord of ρ_{AB}, one still has that

$$D(\rho_{AB}) = \inf_{\Pi_A\otimes\Pi_B} \{\mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB}||\rho_A\otimes\rho_B) - \mathrm{S}(\Pi_A\otimes\Pi_B(\rho_{AB})||\Pi_A\otimes\Pi_B(\rho_A\otimes\rho_B))\}\,. \quad (2.1)$$

The symmetric quantum discord of $\rho_{A_1\ldots A_N}$ for N-partite systems are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned}
&D(\rho_{A_1\ldots A_N})\\
&= \inf_{\Pi_{A_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\Pi_{A_N}} \left\{\mathrm{S}(\rho_{A_1\ldots A_N}||\Pi_{A_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\Pi_{A_N}(\rho_{A_1\ldots A_N})) - \sum_{i=1}^N \mathrm{S}(\rho_{A_i}||\Pi_{A_i}(\rho_{A_i}))\right\}\\
&= \inf_{\Pi_{A_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\Pi_{A_N}} \{\mathrm{S}(\rho_{A_1\ldots A_N}||\rho_{A_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\rho_{A_N})\\
&-\mathrm{S}(\Pi_{A_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\Pi_{A_N}(\rho_{A_1\ldots A_N})||\Pi_{A_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\Pi_{A_N}(\rho_{A_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\rho_{A_N}))\},
\end{aligned}$$

which is non-negative, $D(\rho_{A_1\ldots A_N}) \geqslant 0$.

The following theorem describes the structure of symmetric zero-discord states:

Theorem 2.1. *$D(\rho_{AB}) = 0$ if and only if*

$$\rho_{AB} = \sum_{\mu,\nu} \frac{p_{AB,\mu\nu}}{p_{A,\mu}p_{B,\nu}} \sqrt{\rho_A}\Pi_{A,\mu}\sqrt{\rho_A}\otimes\sqrt{\rho_B}\Pi_{B,\nu}\sqrt{\rho_B}$$

for both von Neumann measurements $\Pi_A = \{\Pi_{A,\mu}\}$ and $\Pi_B = \{\Pi_{B,\nu}\}$, where

$$p_{A,\mu} = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Pi_{A,\mu}\rho_A\right), \quad p_{B,\nu} = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Pi_{B,\nu}\rho_B\right), \quad p_{AB,\mu\nu} = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Pi_{A,\mu}\otimes\Pi_{B,\nu}\rho_{AB}\right).$$

Proof. Clearly, $\mathrm{supp}\,(\rho_{AB}) \subseteq \mathrm{supp}\,(\rho_A)\otimes\mathrm{supp}\,(\rho_B) = \mathrm{supp}\,(\rho_A\otimes\rho_B)$ [10]. Since $D(\rho_{AB}) = 0$, from Eq. (2.1), it follows that there exist two von Neumann measurement $\Pi_A = \{\Pi_{A,\mu}\}$ and $\Pi_B = \{\Pi_{B,\nu}\}$ such that

$$\mathrm{S}(\Pi_A\otimes\Pi_B(\rho_{AB})||\Pi_A\otimes\Pi_B(\rho_A\otimes\rho_B)) = \mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB}||\rho_A\otimes\rho_B).$$

Assume that $\sigma = \rho_A\otimes\rho_B, \Phi = \Pi_A\otimes\Pi_B$ in Lemma 1.1. Therefore $D(\rho_{AB}) = 0$ if and only if

$$\mathrm{S}(\Pi_A\otimes\Pi_B(\rho_{AB})||\Pi_A\otimes\Pi_B(\rho_A\otimes\rho_B)) = \mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB}||\rho_A\otimes\rho_B).$$

Namely

$$\rho_{AB} = \Phi_\sigma^\dagger\circ\Phi(\rho_{AB}) = ((\Pi_{A,\rho_A}^\dagger\circ\Pi_A)\otimes(\Pi_{B,\rho_B}^\dagger\circ\Pi_B))(\rho_{AB})$$

Therefore

$$\rho_{AB} = \sum_{\mu,\nu} \frac{p_{AB,\mu\nu}}{p_{A,\mu}p_{B,\nu}} \sqrt{\rho_A}\Pi_{A,\mu}\sqrt{\rho_A}\otimes\sqrt{\rho_B}\Pi_{B,\nu}\sqrt{\rho_B}.$$

□

Accordingly we have

Corollary 2.2. *$D_B(\rho_{AB}) = 0$ if and only if*

$$\rho_{AB} = \sum_\mu \rho_{A,\mu} \otimes \sqrt{\rho_B}\Pi_{B,\mu}\sqrt{\rho_B} \tag{2.2}$$

for some von Neumann measurement $\Pi_B = \{\Pi_{B,\mu}\}$, where

$$\rho_{A,\mu} = \frac{1}{p_{B,\mu}} \mathrm{Tr}_B \left(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \Pi_{B,\mu}\rho_{AB}\right), \quad p_{B,\mu} = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Pi_{B,\mu}\rho_B\right).$$

Remark 2.3. Suppose that the von Neumann measurement in Eq. (2.2) is $\Pi_B = \{\Pi_{B,\mu}\} = \{|b_\mu\rangle\langle b_\mu|\}$. Then we can assert that $|b_\mu\rangle$ is the eigenvectors of ρ_B. This can be seen as follows. From Eq. (2.2) it follows that

$$\Pi_B(\rho_{AB}) = \sum_\mu \rho_{A,\mu} \otimes \Pi_B(\sqrt{\rho_B}\Pi_{B,\mu}\sqrt{\rho_B}). \tag{2.3}$$

Actually,

$$\Pi_B(\rho_{AB}) = \sum_\mu (\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \Pi_{B,\mu})\rho_{AB}(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \Pi_{B,\mu}) = \sum_\mu p_{B,\mu}\rho_{A,\mu} \otimes \Pi_{B,\mu}. \tag{2.4}$$

From Eq. (2.3) and Eq. (2.4), we have

$$\Pi_B(\sqrt{\rho_B}\Pi_{B,\mu}\sqrt{\rho_B}) = p_{B,\mu}\Pi_{B,\mu},$$

which implies that

$$\begin{cases} \Pi_{B,\mu}\sqrt{\rho_B}\Pi_{B,\nu}\sqrt{\rho_B}\Pi_{B,\mu} = 0, & \text{if} \quad \mu \neq \nu, \\ \Pi_{B,\mu}\sqrt{\rho_B}\Pi_{B,\mu}\sqrt{\rho_B}\Pi_{B,\mu} = p_{B,\mu}\Pi_{B,\mu}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{2.5}$$

That is,

$$\begin{cases} \left|\langle b_\mu \left|\sqrt{\rho_B}\right| b_\nu\rangle\right|^2 = 0 & \text{if} \quad \mu \neq \nu, \\ \langle b_\mu \left|\sqrt{\rho_B}\right| b_\mu\rangle = \sqrt{p_{B,\mu}} = \sqrt{\langle b_\mu \left|\rho_B\right| b_\mu\rangle} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus we conclude that $\{|b_\mu\rangle\}$ is the eigenvectors of ρ_B.

For general multipartite case we have

Corollary 2.4. *$D(\rho_{A_1\ldots A_N}) = 0$ if and only if*

$$\rho_{A_1\ldots A_N} = \sum_{\mu_1,\ldots,\mu_N} \frac{p_{A_1\ldots A_N,\mu_1\ldots\mu_N}}{p_{A_1,\mu_1}\cdots p_{A_N,\mu_N}} \sqrt{\rho_{A_1}}\Pi_{A_1,\mu_1}\sqrt{\rho_{A_1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \sqrt{\rho_{A_N}}\Pi_{A_N,\mu_N}\sqrt{\rho_{A_N}}$$

for N von Neumann measurements $\Pi_{A_i} = \left\{\Pi_{A_i,\mu_i}\right\}$, where

$$p_{A_i,\mu_i} = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Pi_{A_i,\mu_i}\rho_{A_i}\right) (i = 1,\ldots,N), \quad p_{A_1\ldots A_N,\mu_1\ldots\mu_N} = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\Pi_{A_1,\mu_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes\Pi_{A_N,\mu_N}\rho_{A_1\ldots A_N}\right).$$

In order to obtain a connection with strong subadditivity of quantum entropy [11], we associate each von Neumann measurement $\Pi_B = \left\{\Pi_{B,\mu}\right\}$ with a system C as follows:

$$\sigma_{ABC} = V\rho_{AB}V^\dagger = \sum_{\mu,\nu}(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \Pi_{B,\mu})\rho_{AB}(\mathbb{1}_A \otimes \Pi_{B,\nu}) \otimes |\mu\rangle\langle\nu|_C, \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$V|\psi_B\rangle \overset{\text{def}}{=} \sum_\mu \Pi_{B,\mu}|\psi_B\rangle \otimes |\mu\rangle_C$$

is an isometry from B to BC. From Eq. (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{AB} &= \mathrm{Tr}_C\left(V\rho_{AB}V^\dagger\right) = \Pi_B\left(\rho_{AB}\right) = \sum_\mu p_{B,\mu}\rho_{A,\mu}\otimes\Pi_{B,\mu}, \\ \sigma_{BC} &= \mathrm{Tr}_A\left(V\rho_{AB}V^\dagger\right) = \sum_{\mu,\nu}\Pi_{B,\mu}\rho_B\Pi_{B,\nu}\otimes|\mu\rangle\langle\nu|_C, \\ \sigma_B &= \sum_\mu p_{B,\mu}\Pi_{B,\mu}, \end{aligned}$$

where $p_{B,\mu} = \mathrm{Tr}\left(\rho_B\Pi_{B,\mu}\right)$. This implies that the conditional mutual information between A and C conditioned on B is

$$\begin{aligned} I(A;C|B)_\sigma &\overset{\text{def}}{=} \mathrm{S}(\sigma_{AB}) + \mathrm{S}(\sigma_{BC}) - \mathrm{S}(\sigma_{ABC}) - \mathrm{S}(\sigma_B) \\ &= \sum_\mu p_{B,\mu}\mathrm{S}(\rho_{A,\mu}) + \mathrm{S}(\rho_B) - \mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB}) \\ &= \mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB}||\rho_A\otimes\rho_B) - \mathrm{S}(\Pi_B(\rho_{AB})||\rho_A\otimes\Pi_B(\rho_B)). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have

$$I(A;B|C)_\sigma = \mathrm{S}\left(\rho_{AB}||\rho_A\otimes\rho_B\right) - \mathrm{S}(\Pi_B(\rho_{AB})||\rho_A\otimes\Pi_B(\rho_B)).$$

That is,

$$I(A;C|B)_\sigma = I(A;B|C)_\sigma = \mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB}||\rho_A\otimes\rho_B) - \mathrm{S}(\Pi_B(\rho_{AB})||\rho_A\otimes\Pi_B(\rho_B)). \tag{2.7}$$

If Eq. (2.7) vanishes for some von Neumann measurement $\Pi_B = \{\Pi_{B,\mu}\}$, $I(A;C|B)_\sigma = I(A;B|C)_\sigma = 0$, then from Lemma 1.2(i),

$$\sigma_{ABC} = \bigoplus_k p_k \sigma_A^{(k)} \otimes \sigma_{BC}^{(k)}.$$

If $D_B(\rho_{AB}) = \mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB}||\rho_A \otimes \rho_B) - \mathrm{S}(\Pi_B(\rho_{AB})||\rho_A \otimes \Pi_B(\rho_B))$ for some von Neumann measurement Π_B, then

$$D_B(\rho_{AB}) = I(A;B|C)_\sigma.$$

There exists a famous protocol—state redistribution—which gives an operational interpretation of conditional mutual information $I(A;B|C)_\sigma$ [12, 13]. This amounts to give implicitly an operational interpretation of quantum discord [14, 15].

3 A generalization of zero-discord states

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_A^0 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\rho_{AB} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B) : D_A(\rho_{AB}) = 0\}, \\ \Omega^0 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{\rho_{AB} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B) : D(\rho_{AB}) = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $\rho_{AB} \in \mathrm{D}(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$, with two marginal density matrices are $\rho_A = \mathrm{Tr}_B(\rho_{AB})$ and $\rho_B = \mathrm{Tr}_A(\rho_{AB})$, respectively. A sufficient condition for zero-discord states has been derived in [16]: if $\rho_{AB} \in \Omega_A^0$, then $[\rho_{AB}, \rho_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B] = 0$.

A characterization of $[\rho_{AB}, \rho_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B] = 0$ is obtained in [17], $[\rho_{AB}, \rho_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B] = 0$ if and only if $\rho_{AB} = \Pi_A(\rho_{AB})$, where $\Pi_A = \{\Pi_{A,\mu}\}$ is some positive valued measurement for which each projector $\Pi_{A,\mu}$ is of any rank. That is,

$$\rho_{AB} = \sum_\mu (\Pi_{A,\mu} \otimes \mathbb{1}_B)\rho_{AB}(\Pi_{A,\mu} \otimes \mathbb{1}_B).$$

States ρ_{AB} such that $[\rho_{AB}, \rho_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B] = 0$ are called *lazy ones* with particular physical interpretations [17]. Consider general evolution of the state in a finite-dimensional composite system AB:

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt}\rho_{AB,t}\right]_{t=\tau} = -\mathrm{i}\left[H_{AB}, \rho_{AB,\tau}\right],$$

where the total Hamiltonian is $H_{AB} \equiv H_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B + \mathbb{1}_A \otimes H_B + H_{\text{int}}$, which consists of the system, the environment and the interaction Hamiltonians. Clearly, it is required that

$\mathrm{Tr}_A\left(H_{\mathrm{int}}\right) = \mathrm{Tr}_B\left(H_{\mathrm{int}}\right) = 0$. For the system A, the change rate of the system entropy at a time τ is given by [16]:

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{S}(\rho_{A,t})\right]_{t=\tau} = -\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(H_{\mathrm{int}}\left[\rho_{AB,\tau}, \log_2(\rho_{A,\tau}) \otimes \mathbb{1}_B\right]\right). \tag{3.1}$$

Since the von Neumann entropy $\mathrm{S}(\rho_X)$ of ρ_X quantifies the degree of decoherence of the system $X (= A, B)$, it follows that the system entropy rates are independent of the AB coupling if and only if

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{S}(\rho_{A,t})\right]_{t=\tau} = 0,$$

which is equivalent to the following expression:

$$[\rho_{AB,\tau}, \log_2(\rho_{A,\tau}) \otimes \mathbb{1}_B] = 0 \Longleftrightarrow [\rho_{AB,\tau}, \rho_{A,\tau} \otimes \mathbb{1}_B] = 0.$$

In view of this point, the entropy of quantum systems can be preserved from decoherence under any coupling between A and B if and only if the composite system states are lazy ones.

From the symmetry with respect to A and B, one has

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{S}(\rho_{B,t})\right]_{t=\tau} = -\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(H_{\mathrm{int}}\left[\rho_{AB,\tau}, \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \log(\rho_{B,\tau})\right]\right). \tag{3.2}$$

Due to that

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt}I(\rho_{AB,t})\right]_{t=\tau} = \left[\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{S}(\rho_{A,t})\right]_{t=\tau} + \left[\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{S}(\rho_{B,t})\right]_{t=\tau} - \left[\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB,t})\right]_{t=\tau}$$

and

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt}\mathrm{S}(\rho_{AB,t})\right]_{t=\tau} = 0,$$

we have further

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt}I(\rho_{AB,t})\right]_{t=\tau} = -\mathrm{i}\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(H_{\mathrm{int}}\left[\rho_{AB,\tau}, \log(\rho_{A,\tau} \otimes \rho_{B,\tau})\right]\right). \tag{3.3}$$

We can see from Eq. (3.3) that the total correlation is preserved under any coupling between A and B if and only if the mutual entropy rate of composite system AB is zero:

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt}I(\rho_{AB,t})\right]_{t=\tau} = 0,$$

which is equivalent to the following expression:

$$[\rho_{AB,\tau}, \log(\rho_{A,\tau} \otimes \rho_{B,\tau})] = 0 \Longleftrightarrow [\rho_{AB,\tau}, \rho_{A,\tau} \otimes \rho_{B,\tau}] = 0.$$

Similarly, we have:

Proposition 3.1. *If* $\rho_{AB} \in \Omega^0$, *then* $[\rho_{AB}, \rho_A \otimes \rho_B] = 0$.

Moreover,

Proposition 3.2. $[\rho_{AB}, \rho_A \otimes \rho_B] = 0$ *if and only if* $\rho_{AB} = \Pi_A \otimes \Pi_B(\rho_{AB})$, *where* $\Pi_X = \{\Pi_{X,\alpha}\}$ *are some PVM for which each projector* $\Pi_{X,\alpha}$, *where* $(X, \alpha) = (A, \mu), (B, \nu)$, *are of any rank. That is,*

$$\rho_{AB} = \sum_{\mu,\nu} (\Pi_{A,\mu} \otimes \Pi_{B,\nu}) \rho_{AB} (\Pi_{A,\mu} \otimes \Pi_{B,\nu}).$$

Proof. Let the spectral decompositions of $\rho_{A,\tau}$ and $\rho_{B,\tau}$ be

$$\rho_{A,\tau} = \sum_{\mu} p_\mu \Pi_{A,\tau}, \quad \rho_{B,\tau} = \sum_{\nu} q_\nu \Pi_{B,\nu},$$

respectively, where $\{\Pi_{A,\mu}\}$ and $\{\Pi_{B,\nu}\}$ are the orthogonal projectors of any rank, such that $\{p_\mu\}$ and $\{q_\nu\}$ are non-degenerate, respectively. Then $\{\Pi_{A,\mu} \otimes \Pi_{B,\nu}\}$ are orthogonal eigen-projectors of $\rho_{A,\tau} \otimes \rho_{B,\tau}$. Since $[\rho_{AB}, \rho_A \otimes \rho_B] = 0$ is equivalent to $\left[\rho_{AB}, \Pi_{A,\mu} \otimes \Pi_{B,\nu}\right] = 0$ for all μ, ν, it follows from $\sum_{\mu,\nu} \Pi_{A,\mu} \otimes \Pi_{B,\nu} = \mathbb{1}_A \otimes \mathbb{1}_B$ that

$$\rho_{AB} = \sum_{\mu,\nu} (\Pi_{A,\mu} \otimes \Pi_{B,\nu}) \rho_{AB} (\Pi_{A,\mu} \otimes \Pi_{B,\nu}).$$

The converse follows from direct computation. ☐

Here the states ρ_{AB} satisfying the condition $[\rho_{AB}, \rho_A \otimes \rho_B] = 0$ are just the generalization of zero-symmetric discord states and lazy states are the generalization of zero discord states.

4 Conclusion

We have studied the well-known monotonicity inequality of relative entropy under completely positive linear maps, by deriving some properties of symmetric discord. A new form of zero-discord state via Petz's monotonicity condition on relative entropy with equality has been derived systematically. The results are generalized for the zero-discord states.

There is a more interesting and challenging problem which can be considered in the future study: What is a sufficient and necessary condition for the vanishing conditional mutual entropy rates at a time τ:

$$\left[\frac{d}{dt} I(A:B|E)_\rho\right]_{t=\tau} = 0,$$

where $I(A:B|E)_\rho = \mathrm{S}(\rho_{AE}) + \mathrm{S}(\rho_{BE}) - \mathrm{S}(\rho_{ABE}) - \mathrm{S}(\rho_E)$.

Acknowledgement

We thank F. Brandão, M. Mosonyi, M. Piani, J. Rau and A. Winter for valuable comments. This project is supported by Natural Science Foundations of China (11171301, 10771191 and 10471124) and Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province of China (Y6090105).

References

[1] M. Ohya and D. Petz, Quantum Entropy and Its Use. Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1993. Second edition 2004.

[2] D. Petz, Sufficiency of Channels over von Neumann algebras. Quart. J. Math. Oxford(2), 39(1988), 97–108.

[3] D. Petz, Monotonicity of quantum relative entropy revisited. Rev. Math. Phys. **15**(1):79–91(2003).

[4] M. Ruskai, Inequalities for quantum entropy: A review with conditions for equality. J. Math. Phys. **43**, 4358 (2002).

[5] F. Hiai, M. Mosonyi, D. Petz and C. Bény, Quantum f-divergence and error correction. Rev. Math. Phys. **23**:691-747(2011).

[6] M. Choi, Completely positive linear maps on complex matrices. Lin. Alg. Appl. **10**, 285 (1975).

[7] N. Linden and A. Winter, A New Inequality for the von Neumann Entropy. Commn. Math. Phys. **259**, 129–138 (2005).

[8] J. Cadney, N. Linden, and A. Winter, Infinitely Many Constrained Inequalities for the von Neumann Entropy. IEEE Trans. Inf. Theor. **58**(6), 3657-3663 (2012).

[9] C. Rulli and M. Sarandy, Global quantum discord in multipartite systems. Phys. Rev. A **84**, 042109 (2011).

[10] R. Renner, Security of Quantum Key Distribution, PhD thesis. arXiv:quant-ph/0512258.

[11] A. Datta, A Condition for the Nullity of Quantum Discord. arXiv:quant-ph/1003.5256.

[12] I. Devetak and J. Yard, Exact Cost of Redistributing Multipartite Quantum States. Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 230501 (2008).

[13] J. Yard and I. Devetak, Optimal Quantum Source Coding With Quantum Side Information at the Encoder and Decoder. IEEE Trans. Info. Theor. **55**, 5339–5351 (2009).

[14] V. Madhok and A. Datta, Interpreting quantum discord through quantum state merging. Phys. Rev. A. **83**, 032323 (2011).

[15] D. Cavalcanti, L. Aolita, S. Boixo, K. Modi, M. Piani, and A. Winter1, Operational interpretations of quantum discord. Phys. Rev. A. **83**, 032324 (2011).

[16] A. Ferraro, L. Aolita, D. Cavalcanti, F. M. Cucchietti and A. Acín, Allmost all quantum states have nonclassical correlations. Phys. Rev. A **81**, 052318 (2010).

[17] C. A. Rodríguez-Rosario, G. Kimura, H. Imai and A. Aspuru-Guzik, Sufficient and Necessary Condition for Zero Quantum Entropy Rates under any Coupling to the Environment. Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 050403 (2011).